Tidying data

## Tidying data

Data rarely come to us as we want to use them.

- Before we can do analysis, typically have organizing to do.
- This is typical of ANOVA-type data, "wide format":

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
\text { pig feed1 } & \text { feed2 } & \text { feed3 } & \text { feed4 } \\
1 & 60.8 & 68.7 & 92.6 & 87.9 \\
2 & 57.0 & 67.7 & 92.1 & 84.2 \\
3 & 65.0 & 74.0 & 90.2 & 83.1 \\
4 & 58.6 & 66.3 & 96.5 & 85.7 \\
5 & 61.7 & 69.8 & 99.1 & 90.3
\end{array}
$$

> 20 pigs randomly allocated to one of four feeds. At end of study, weight of each pig is recorded.
Are any differences in mean weights among the feeds?

- Problem: want all weights in one column, with 2 nd column labelling which feed. Untidy!


## Tidy and untidy data (Wickham)

D Data set easier to deal with if:

- each observation is one row
- each variable is one column
- each type of observation unit is one table
- Data arranged this way called "tidy"; otherwise called "untidy".
- For the pig data:
- response variable is weight, but scattered over 4 columns, which are levels of a factor feed.
- Want all the weights in one column, with a second column feed saying which feed that weight goes with.
- Then we can run aov.


## Packages for this section

library(tidyverse)

## Reading in the pig data

```
my_url <- "http://ritsokiguess.site/datafiles/pigs1.txt"
pigs1 <- read_delim(my_url, " ")
pigs1
# A tibble: 5 x 5
        pig feed1 feed2 feed3 feed4
    <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 1 1 60.8 68.7 92.6 87.9
2 2 57 67.7 92.1 84.2
3 3 3 65 llllll
4 4 4 58.6
5 [llllll
```


## Making it longer

- We wanted all the weights in one column, labelled by which feed they went with.
This is a very common reorganization, and the magic "verb" is pivot_longer:

```
pigs1 %>% pivot_longer(feed1:feed4, names_to="feed",
    values_to="weight") -> pigs2
```

pigs2
\# A tibble: 20 x 3
pig feed weight
<dbl> <chr> <dbl>
1
1 feed1 60.8
21 feed2 68.7
31 feed3 92.6
41 feed4 87.9
$5 \quad 2$ feed1 57
$6 \quad 2$ feed2 67.7
$7 \quad 2$ feed3 92.1

## Alternatives

Any way of choosing the columns to pivot longer is good, eg:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { pigs1 \%>\% pivot_longer(-pig, names_to="feed", } \\
\text { values_to="weight") }
\end{array}
$$

\# A tibble: 20 x 3
pig feed weight
<dbl> <chr> <dbl>

| 1 |  | 1 | feed1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 | 60.8 |  |
| 3 |  | feed2 | 68.7 |
| 4 |  | feed3 | 92.6 |
|  | feed4 | 87.9 |  |

52 feed1 57
$6 \quad 2$ feed2 67.7
$7 \quad 2$ feed3 92.1
82 feed4 84.2
93 feed1 65
$10 \quad 3$ feed2 74
113 feed3 90.2

## Comments

pigs2 now in "long" format, ready for analysis.
$>$ Anatomy of pivot_longer:

- columns to combine
- a name for column that will contain groups ("names")
- a name for column that will contain measurements ("values")


## Identifying the pigs

- Values in pig identify pigs within each group: pig 1 is four different pigs!
- Create unique pig IDs by gluing pig number onto feed:

- which is just what we saw before:

```
weight.1 <- aov(weight ~ feed, data = pigs2)
summary(weight.1)
```


$>$ The mean weights of pigs on the different feeds are definitely not all equal.
$>$ So we run Tukey to see which ones differ (over).

## Tukey

## TukeyHSD (weight.1)

Tukey multiple comparisons of means 95\% family-wise confidence level

Fit: aov(formula = weight ~ feed, data = pigs2)
\$feed

|  | diff | lwr | upr | p adj |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| feed2-feed1 | 8.68 | 3.001038 | 14.358962 | 0.0024000 |
| feed3-feed1 | 33.48 | 27.801038 | 39.158962 | 0.0000000 |
| feed4-feed1 | 25.62 | 19.941038 | 31.298962 | 0.0000000 |
| feed3-feed2 | 24.80 | 19.121038 | 30.478962 | 0.0000000 |
| feed4-feed2 | 16.94 | 11.261038 | 22.618962 | 0.0000013 |
| feed4-feed3 | -7.86 | -13.538962 | -2.181038 | 0.0055599 |

All of the feeds differ!

## Mean weights by feed

To find the best and worst, get mean weight by feed group. I borrowed an idea from earlier to put the means in descending order:

```
pigs2 %>%
    group_by(feed) %>%
    summarize(mean_weight = mean(weight))%>%
    arrange(desc(mean_weight))
# A tibble: 4 x 2
    feed mean_weight
    <chr> <dbl>
1 feed3 94.1
2 feed4 86.2
3 feed2 69.3
feed1 60.6
```

Feed 3 is best, feed 1 worst.

## Should we have any concerns about the ANOVA?

ggplot(pigs2, aes(x = feed, $y=$ weight)) + geom_boxplot()


## Comments

- Feed 2 has an outlier
- But there are only 5 pigs in each group
- The conclusion is so clear that I am OK with this.


## Tuberculosis

- The World Health Organization keeps track of number of cases of various diseases, eg. tuberculosis.
- Some data:

```
my_url <- "http://ritsokiguess.site/datafiles/tb.csv"
tb <- read_csv(my_url)
```

The data (randomly chosen rows)
tb $\%>\%$ slice_sample $(n=10)$
\# A tibble: 10 x 22
iso2 year m04 m514 m014 m1524 m2534 m3544 m4554 m! <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <c

| 1 | GD | 1982 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | PE | 2004 | NA | NA | 385 | 3860 | 2085 | 1357 | 894 |
| 3 | GY | 2003 | NA | NA | 10 | 56 | 111 | 114 | 58 |
| 4 | CF | 2006 | NA | NA | 48 | 409 | 770 | 923 | 152 |
| 5 | AO | 2004 | NA | NA | 554 | 2684 | 2659 | 1998 | 1196 |
| 6 | LC | 1987 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 7 | BI | 1999 | NA | NA | 64 | 349 | 566 | 492 | 281 |
| 8 | AL | 1987 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 9 | AM | 1997 | NA | NA | 2 | 85 | 59 | 77 | 51 |
| 10 | DK | 1981 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

\# i 11 more variables: mu <dbl>, f04 <dbl>, f514 <dbl>, f0 \# f1524 <dbl>, f2534 <dbl>, f3544 <dbl>, f4554 <dbl>, f5! \# f65 <dbl>, fu <dbl>

## What we have

Variables: country (abbreviated), year. Then number of cases for each gender and age group, eg. m1524 is males aged $15-24$. Also mu and fu, where age is unknown.

- Lots of missings. Want to get rid of.
- Abbreviations here.
tb \%>\%

```
pivot_longer(m04:fu, names_to = "genage",
    values_to = "freq", values_drop_na = TRUE)
```

- Code for pivot_longer:
- columns to make longer
- column to contain the names (categorical)
- column to contain the values (quantitative)
- drop missings in the values


## Results (some)



## Separating

$>4$ columns, but 5 variables, since genage contains both gender and age group. Split that up using separate.
$>$ separate needs 3 things:

- what to separate (no quotes needed),
what to separate into (here you do need quotes),
b how to split.
- For "how to split", here "after first character":
tb2 \% \% \% separate_wider_position(genage,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { widths }=\text { c("gender" }=1, \text { " } \\
& \text { too_few = "align_start") - }
\end{aligned}
$$

tb3
\# A tibble: 35,750 x 5
iso2 year gender age freq
<chr> <dbl> <chr> <chr> <dbl>

| 1 AD | 1996 m | 014 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 AD | 1996 m | 1524 | 0 |
| 3 AD | 1996 m | 2534 | 0 |

## Tidied tuberculosis data (some)

tb3
\# A tibble: 35,750 x 5
iso2 year gender age freq
<chr> <dbl> <chr> <chr> <dbl>
1 AD 1996 m 0140

2 AD 1996 m 1524 0
3 AD 1996 m 25340
4 AD 1996 m 3544 4

| 5 AD | 1996 m | 4554 | 1 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 AD | 1996 m | 5564 | 0 |
| 7 AD | 1996 m | 65 | 0 |
| 8 AD | 1996 f | 014 | 0 |
| 9 AD | 1996 f | 1524 | 1 |
| 10 AD | 1996 f | 2534 | 1 |

\# i 35,740 more rows

## In practice...

$>$ instead of doing the pipe one step at a time, you debug it one step at a time, and when you have each step working, you use that step's output as input to the next step, thus:
tb \%>\%
pivot_longer(m04:fu, names_to = "genage", values_to = "freq", values_drop_na = TRUE)
separate_wider_position(genage,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { widths }=\text { c("gender" }=1 \text {, "age" }= \\
& \text { too_few }=\text { "align_start") }
\end{aligned}
$$

\# A tibble: 35,750 x 5
iso2 year gender age freq
<chr> <dbl> <chr> <chr> <dbl>

| 1 AD | 1996 m | 014 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 AD | 1996 m | 1524 | 0 |
| 3 AD | 1996 m | 2534 | 0 |
| 4 AD | 1996 m | 3544 | 4 |
| 5 AD | 1996 m | 4554 | 1 |

## Total tuberculosis cases by year (some of the years)

```
tb3 %>%
    filter(between(year, 1991, 1998)) %>%
    group_by(year) %>% summarize(total=sum(freq))
# A tibble: 8 x 2
        year total
    <dbl> <dbl>
1 1991 544
2 1992 512
3 1993 492
41994 750
5 1995 513971
6 1996 635705
7 1997 733204
8 1998 840389
```

$>$ Something very interesting happened between 1994 and 1995.

## To find out what

try counting up total cases by country:

```
tb3 %>% group_by(iso2) %>%
    summarize(total=sum(freq)) %>%
    arrange(desc(total))
```

\# A tibble: 213 x 2
iso2 total
<chr> <dbl>
1 CN 4065174
2 IN 3966169
3 ID 1129015
4 ZA 900349
5 BD 758008
6 VN 709695
7 CD 603095
8 PH 490040
9 BR 440609
10 KE 431523

## What years do I have for China?

China started recording in 1995, which is at least part of the problem:

```
tb3 %>% filter(iso2=="CN") %>%
    group_by(year) %>%
    summarize(total=sum(freq))
```

\# A tibble: 14 x 2
year total
<dbl> <dbl>
11995131194
21996168270
31997195895
41998214404
51999212258
62000213766
72001212766
82002194972
$9 \quad 2003267280$

## First year of recording by country?

$\rightarrow$ A lot of countries started recording in about 1995, in fact:

```
tb3 %>% group_by(iso2) %>%
summarize(first_year=min(year)) %>%
count(first_year)
```

\# A tibble: 14 x 2

| first_year | n |
| ---: | ---: |
| <dbl> | <int> |
| 1980 | 2 |

219942
31995130
$4 \quad 199631$
5199717

| 6 | 1998 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 1999 | 10 |
| 8 | 2000 | 4 |


| 9 | 2001 | 1 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 2002 | 3 |

## Some Toronto weather data

my_url <-
"http://ritsokiguess.site/STAC32/toronto_weather.csv" weather <- read_csv(my_url)
weather
\# A tibble: 24 x 35
station Year Month element d01 d02 d03 d04
<chr> <dbl> <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <db
1 TORONT~ 201801 tmax $\quad-7.9-7.1$

2 TORONT~ 201801 tmin $-18.6-12.5-11.2-19.7-20$

| 3 | TORONT~ | 2018 | 02 | tmax | 5.6 | -8.6 | 0.4 | 1.8 | -6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | TORONT~ | 2018 | 02 | tmin | -8.9 | -15 | -9.7 | -8.8 | -12 |
| 5 | TORONT~ | 2018 | 03 | tmax | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 6 | TORONT~ | 2018 | 03 | tmin | NA | -0.5 | NA | -3.1 | NA |
| 7 | TORONT~ | 2018 | 04 | tmax | 4.5 | 6.5 | 5 | 5.7 | 2 |
| 8 | TORONT~ | 2018 | 04 | tmin | -2.6 | -1.2 | 2.4 | -3.2 | -3 |
| 9 | TORONT~ | 2018 | 05 | tmax | 23.5 | 26.3 | 23 | 24 | 24 |
| 10 | TORONT~ | 2018 | 05 | tmin | 8.5 | 14.4 | 11.4 | 9.2 | 8 |

\# i 14 more rows

## The columns

D Daily weather records for "Toronto City" weather station in 2018:
station: identifier for this weather station (always same here)

- Year, Month
$>$ element: whether temperature given was daily max or daily min
$>$ d01, d02,... d31: day of the month from 1st to 31st.


## Off we go

Numbers in data frame all temperatures (for different days of the month), so first step is

```
weather %>%
    pivot_longer(d01:d31, names_to="day",
    values_to="temperature",
    values_drop_na = TRUE)
```

\# A tibble: 703 x 6
station Year Month element day temperature
<chr> <dbl> <chr> <chr> <chr> <dbl>
1 TORONTO CITY 201801 tmax d01 -7.9
2 TORONTO CITY 201801 tmax d02 -7.1
3 TORONTO CITY 201801 tmax d03 -5.3
4 TORONTO CITY 201801 tmax d04 -7.7
5 TORONTO CITY 201801 tmax d05 -14.7
6 TORONTO CITY 201801 tmax d06 -15.4
7 TORONTO CITY 201801 tmax d07 -1
8 TORONTO CITY 201801 tmax d08 3

## Element

- Column element contains names of two different variables, that should each be in separate column.
D Distinct from eg. m1524 in tuberculosis data, that contained levels of two different factors, handled by separate.
- Untangling names of variables handled by pivot_wider.


## Handling element

weather $\%>\%$
> pivot_longer(d01:d31, names_to="day",
> values_to="temperature",
> values_drop_na = TRUE) $\%>\%$

pivot_wider(names_from=element,
values_from=temperature)
\# A tibble: 355 x 6

| station | Year Month day tmax tmin |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| <chr> | <dbl> <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> |

1 TORONTO CITY 201801 d01 -7.9 -18.6

2 TORONTO CITY 201801 d02 -7.1 -12.5
3 TORONTO CITY 201801 d03 -5.3-11.2

4 TORONTO CITY 201801 d04 -7.7 -19.7

| 5 | TORONTO CITY | 2018 | 01 | d05 | -14.7 | -20.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | TORONTO CITY | 2018 | 01 | d06 | -15.4 | -22.3 |
| 7 | TORONTO CITY | 2018 | 01 | d07 | -1 | -17.5 |
| 8 | TORONTO CITY | 2018 | 01 | d08 | 3 | -1.7 |

## Further improvements $1 / 2$

- We have tidy data now, but can improve things further.
mutate creates new columns from old (or assign back to change a variable).
Would like numerical dates. separate works, or pull out number as below.
$>$ select keeps columns (or drops, with minus). Station name has no value to us.

Further improvements 2/2
weather \%>\%
pivot_longer(d01:d31, names_to="day",
values_to="temperature", values_drop_na = Th pivot_wider(names_from=element, values_from=temperature) mutate(Day = parse_number(day)) $\%>\%$
select(-station)
\# A tibble: 355 x 6
Year Month day tmax tmin Day
<dbl> <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
$1201801 \quad \mathrm{~d} 01 \quad-7.9-18.6 \quad 1$

2 | 2 | 2018 | 01 | $d 02$ | -7.1 | -12.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| 3 | 2018 | 01 | $d 03$ | -5.3 | -11.2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$4 \quad 2018 \quad 01 \quad \mathrm{~d} 04 \quad-7.7-19.7 \quad 4$

| 5 | 2018 | 01 | d 05 | -14.7 | -20.6 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2018 | 01 | d 06 | -15.4 | -22.3 | 6 |
| 7 | 2018 | 01 | d 07 | -1 | -17.5 | 7 |
| 8 | 2018 | 01 | d 08 | 3 | -1.7 | 8 |
| 0 | 2018 | 01 | d 0 | 1 | -0 | 6 |

## Final step(s)

- Make year-month-day into proper date.
- Keep only date, tmax, tmin:

```
weather %>%
    pivot_longer(d01:d31, names_to="day",
        values_to="temperature", values_drop_na = T)
pivot_wider(names_from=element, values_from=temperature)
mutate(Day = parse_number(day)) %>%
select(-station) %>%
unite(datestr, c(Year, Month, Day), sep = "-") %>%
mutate(date = as.Date(datestr)) %>%
select(c(date, tmax, tmin)) -> weather_tidy
```


## Our tidy data frame

```
weather_tidy
# A tibble: 355 x 3
    date tmax tmin
    <date> <dbl> <dbl>
    1 2018-01-01 -7.9 -18.6
    2 2018-01-02 -7.1 -12.5
    3 2018-01-03 -5.3 -11.2
    4 2018-01-04 -7.7 -19.7
    5 2018-01-05 -14.7 -20.6
    6 2018-01-06 -15.4 -22.3
    7 2018-01-07 -1 -17.5
    8 2018-01-08 3 -1.7
    9 2018-01-09 1.6 -0.6
10 2018-01-10 5.9 -1.3
```

\# i 345 more rows

## Plotting the temperatures

- Plot temperature against date joined by lines, but with separate lines for max and min. ggplot requires something like

```
ggplot(..., aes(x = date, y = temperature)) + geom_point() +
    geom_line()
```

only we have two temperatures, one a max and one a min, that we want to keep separate.

- The trick: combine tmax and tmin together into one column, keeping track of what kind of temp they are. (This actually same format as untidy weather.) Are making weather_tidy untidy for purposes of drawing graph only.
- Then can do something like

```
ggplot(d, aes(x = date, y = temperature, colour = maxmin))
    + geom_point() + geom_line()
```

to distinguish max and min on graph.

## Setting up plot

- Since we only need data frame for plot, we can do the column-creation and plot in a pipeline.
$\rightarrow$ For a ggplot in a pipeline, the initial data frame is omitted, because it is whatever came out of the previous step.
- To make those "one column"s: pivot_longer. I save the graph to show overleaf:

```
weather_tidy %>%
    pivot_longer(tmax:tmin, names_to="maxmin",
    values_to="temperature") %>%
    ggplot(aes(x = date, y = temperature, colour = maxmin))
        geom_line() -> g
```


## The plot

## g



## Summary of tidying "verbs"

| Verb $\quad$ Purpose |
| :--- | :--- |
| pivot_longermbine columns that measure same thing into one |
| pivot_widerake column that measures one thing under different |
| conditions and put into multiple columns |

pivot_longer and pivot_wider are opposites; separate and unite are opposites.

